

## Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

### Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

#### Section A: Overview & Summary Information

**Date Investment First Submitted:** 2009-06-30  
**Date of Last Change to Activities:** 2012-04-27  
**Investment Auto Submission Date:** 2012-02-29  
**Date of Last Investment Detail Update:** 2012-08-14  
**Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update:** 2012-08-14  
**Date of Last Revision:** 2012-08-14

**Agency:** 010 - Department of the Interior      **Bureau:** 76 - Bureau of Indian Affairs and Bureau of Indian Education

**Investment Part Code:** 01

**Investment Category:** 00 - Agency Investments

**1. Name of this Investment:** BIA - Loan Management and Accounting System (LOMAS)

**2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII):** 010-000000066

#### Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The Loan Management and Accounting System (LOMAS) enables Indian Affairs to coordinate the making and servicing of loans to qualified Native American applicants by commercial financial institutions and to service, collect, and track the repayment of loans made by Indian Affairs (IA). The LOMAS investment enables Indian Affairs to promote the economic development of tribes and individual American Indians and Alaskan Natives by providing financial assistance through guaranteed loans, insured loans, and payment of interest subsidies via a program known as the Indian Affairs Loan Guaranty, Insurance and Interest Subsidy Program. The Indian Financing Act of 1974, the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990, and Congressional Declaration of Policy authorized Indian Affairs to help Native Americans and Native American companies gain access to capital by guaranteeing and insuring private sector loans. Initial planning for the Guaranteed Loan Accounting System (GLAS), the LOMAS Replacement investment, began in FY2009, including the purchase of ORACLE software licenses. The current LOMAS is scheduled to continue until the GLAS is ready to be deployed in FY2013.

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in**



**support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

If full-funding is not received, the current LOMAS would need to cut back its part-time SmallTalk programming support. The Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) would be restricted in its negotiations with the National Business Center (NBC) to agree upon a worst-case funding scenario for a part-time SmallTalk programming support. The programmer, whose cost has not exceeded \$132,000 in the past four (4) years, would be limited in the amount of programming services. Indian Affairs is legally bound to perform loan processing as stated in the Indian Financing Act of 1974. Additionally, commercial lending institutions were not lending sufficient funds to Indian communities without the establishment of the loan guarantees and loan subsidies that are more efficiently made through the use of LOMAS (existing system as well as proposed). Therefore, the economic improvement fostered by the loan guarantee program and assisted through the use of LOMAS cannot be done by the private sector. An unfunded LOMAS investment would cease acting to coordinate the making and servicing of loans and would cease acting to service, collect, and track the repayment of loans made by Indian Affairs. All of the electronic processes would have to be converted to manual processes. All participating commercial banks would have to comply with the manual process, including the transfer of information by mail or FAX with no electronic means of data transfer available. Any prospective Native American applicants and any potential new commercial lending institutions would have to apply through a new manual process that must be developed and advertised. Without the automated LOMAS system, Indian Affairs would not have the manpower to process and track every loan, therefore fewer jobs would be created or sustained by the Indian Affairs loan program. The Office of Indian Energy and Economic Development (IEED) estimates that it would have to hire six (6) federal employees at a cost of \$800,000 a year to manually maintain what is done automatically in the current LOMAS investment.

**3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**

- LOMAS server available 95% of the time - 8760 hours per year (365 days x 24 hours)  
Improve on 95% LOMAS server availability.

**4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**

- LOMAS server available 95% of the time - 8760 hours per year (365 days x 24 hours)  
Improve on 95% LOMAS server availability until system is decommissioned. - Decommission LOMAS in 2013.

**5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**



1992-01-01



## Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$1.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$1.8	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$1.3	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$1.3	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$3.1	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1
Total Govt. FTE costs:	0	0	0	0
# of FTE rep by costs:	0	0	0	0
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$-0.1	\$0.0	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		-55.20%	0.00%	



**2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:**

The PY funding levels decreased by \$24,706. The funding levels for CY have decreased by \$55,000. The contract for the LOMAS system administrator ended in 2012 and was not extended.



## Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
---------------	--------------	-----------------------	--	--	---------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	------	--------	----------------	-----------------------------

NONE

**2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:**

Earned value is not used for the SS portions of this investment. "EVMS is not required for operational/steady-state projects" per Karen S. Evans of OMB in a memo dated August 4, 2005.



## Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

### Section A: General Information

**Date of Last Change to Activities:** 2012-04-27

### Section B: Project Execution Data

**Table II.B.1 Projects**

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
1	Prepare investment for replacement	Prepare LOMAS for replacement by GLAS.			

### Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M )	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
1	Prepare investment for replacement							

### Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days )	Schedule Variance (%)
1	Create Test Baseline	Create a test baseline that will be used as a standard for GLAS concurrent testing	2011-12-30	2011-12-30	2011-12-30	88	0	0.00%
1	Transfer Database Records	Permanent Records Management - transfer all database	2012-03-30	2012-02-15	2012-02-15	87	44	50.57%



Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days )	Schedule Variance (%)
		records to replacement system GLAS						



## Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Number of new guaranteed loans approved per year	Number of loans approved	Mission and Business Results - Services for Citizens	Over target	20.000000	20.000000	28.000000	20.000000	Quarterly
Number of loans serviced per year	Number of loans serviced	Process and Activities - Financial	Over target	490.000000	490.000000	498.000000	512.000000	Quarterly
Amount of time LOMAS server is available	Hours per year	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	8760.000000	8760.000000	8756.000000	8760.000000	Monthly
Number of additional commercial lending institutions participating per year	Number of lending institutions	Customer Results - Service Coverage	Over target	20.000000	20.000000	20.000000	20.000000	Quarterly
Percent of referred calls per month meeting performance criteria	Percent of calls	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Quarterly